

CORPORATE PARENTING BOARD – PERFORMANCE REPORT

JULY 2012

CONTEXT

The purpose of this additional report is to provide the Board with the most up to date performance overview performance information in relation to Children in Care and to highlight results as of July 2012.

PERFORMANCE SUMMARY

The tables below show performance against key monthly and quarterly Children in Care measures. Performance for July 2012 is shown along with the previous year's targets and year end out-turn. Over the summer, we are expecting finalisation of the Munro recommended performance framework and with this, new outcome performance measures will be developed.

Performance against key monthly measures is listed below:

NI/Local Code	Short Name	Responsible Officer	Stat Neigh (10/11)	Outturn 11/12	Target (10/11)	Apr – 12	May - 12	Jun - 12	Jul - 12
CSS101(a)	Number of Children in Care	Paulette Thompson- Omenka	737	541	480	545	545	553	548
CSS101(b)	Rate per 10,000 of Children in Care	Paulette Thompson- Omenka	101	96.8	85.8	97.5	97.5	98.9	98
CSS114	Number of Admissions to Care	Paulette Thompson- Omenka	Internal measure	243	-	23	21	24	19
CSS115	Number of Discharges from Looked After	Paulette Thompson- Omenka	Internal measure	221	-	19	33	12	28
CSS147	Representation BME children CiC to BME population	Paulette Thompson- Omenka	Internal measure	9.6%	-	10.1%	9.2%	7.9%	7.9%

The majority of data in the report is obtained from CareFirst. CareFirst is a live database, as such the information is subject to change and fluctuations are not uncommon, this is due to records being amended, added or removed. As a consequence, the data presented in this report only represents a snapshot of the performance picture for the month that the report was run. Previous monthly performance figures are not re-calculated, therefore the monthly figures may not always equal the year-to-date totals.



NI/Local Code	Short Name	Responsible Officer	Stat Neigh (10/11)	Outturn 11/12	Target (10/11)	Apr – 12	May - 12	Jun - 12	Jul - 12
NI63	Stability of placements of Children in Care: length of placement	Joy Chambers	65.4%	73.1%	67.0%	73.6%	73.7%	74.4%	74.7%
NI66	Children in Care cases which were reviewed within required timescales	Dorne Collinson	86.0%	94.6%	90.0%	97.8%	98.0%	98.3%	97.7%
PAF C63	Participation in Reviews	Dorne Collinson	Not published	85.9%	85.0%	98.6%	92.9%	92.7%	94.2%
CSS158	% of Children in Care for 3 months or more with an up-to-date health assessment	Helen Blackman	Internal measure	77.6%	80.0%	78.5%	79.5%	74.8%	74.2%
CSS159	% of Children in Care after for 3 months or more with an up-to-date dental check	Helen Blackman	Internal measure	82.7%	80.0%	82.4%	81.1%	80.3%	80.6%
CSS160	% of Children in Care after for 3 months or more with an up-to-date SDQ	Helen Blackman	Internal measure	90.0%	100.0%	87.5%	85.4%	86.0%	87.2%
CSS153	% CiC with a completed PEP	Paulette Thompson- Omenka	Internal measure	98.0%	100.0%	96.0%	95.0%	92.0%	94.0%
CSS151	% CiC (aged 16 ¼ and above) who have had a Pathway Plans (DEVELOPMENT OF NEW MEASURE)	Paulette Thompson- Omenka	Internal measure	-	100.0%	96.5%	Reported at month end		
CSS155	% CiC allocated to a named social worker (New)	Helen Blackman	Internal measure	100.0%	-	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%



Performance against key **quarterly** measures is listed below:

NI/Local Code	Short Name	Responsible Officer	Stat Neigh (10/11)	Outturn 11/12	Target (10/11)	Q1
PAF C23	Adoptions of CiC (including SGO's)	Paulette Thompson- Omenka	13.0%	9.9%	13.0%	1.4%
NI61	Timeliness of placements of Children in Care for adoption following an agency decision that the child should be placed for adoption	Paulette Thompson- Omenka	68.5%	62.1%	75.0%	33.0%
NI62	Stability of placements of Children in Care: number of moves (based on rolling 12 months)	Joy Chambers	11.2%	11.5%	12.0%	9.8%
NI147	Care leavers in suitable accommodation	Paulette Thompson- Omenka	88.8%	80.4%	100.0%	100.0%
NI148	Care leavers in employment, education or training	Paulette Thompson- Omenka	58.0%	60.7%	95.0%	77.8%

Whilst performance in many areas has improved, significant focus is being placed on areas where performance is weaker. Robust action plans, monitored by senior management, are being implemented to drive performance improvements.